## The Hasse-Arf Theorem and Nonabelian Extensions

Kevin Keating Department of Mathematics University of Florida

May 27, 2021

This is joint work with

G. Griffith Elder — University of Nebraska Omaha

#### Notation for Local Fields

Let K be a local field. Then K has a discrete valuation  $v_K : K \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}.$ 

Associated to K we have the following:

$$\mathcal{O}_{K} = \{x \in K : v_{K}(x) \ge 0\} = \text{ring of integers of } K$$
$$\mathcal{M}_{K} = \{x \in K : v_{K}(x) \ge 1\} = \text{maximal ideal of } \mathcal{O}_{K}.$$

Say that  $\overline{K} = \mathcal{O}_K / \mathcal{M}_K$  is the residue field of K. A uniformizer of K is  $\pi_K \in K$  such that  $v_K(\pi_K) = 1$ .

We will be considering Galois extensions L/K of degree  $p^n$ , where  $p = char(\overline{K})$ .

In most cases we will assume that L/K is totally ramified. When this holds we have  $\overline{L} = \overline{K}$  and  $|\mathbb{Z} : v_L(K^{\times})| = p^n$ . In addition, we choose  $\pi_L$  so that  $N_{L/K}(\pi_L) \equiv \pi_K \pmod{\mathcal{M}_K^2}$ .

# Higher Ramification Theory

Let L/K be a Galois extension of degree  $p^n$ . For  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $x \ge 0$  define

$$G_x = \{ \sigma \in G : v_L(\sigma(\alpha) - \alpha) \ge x + 1 \text{ for all } \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_L \}.$$

Then  $G_x$  is a subgroup of G. In fact  $G_x \trianglelefteq G$ .

Let  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \ge 0$ . Say b is a lower ramification break of L/K if  $G_b \ne G_{b+\epsilon}$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . We have  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  in this case.

If *b* is a lower ramification break of L/K we can identify  $G_b/G_{b+1}$  with a subgroup of  $\mathcal{M}_L^b/\mathcal{M}_L^{b+1}$ . Hence  $G_b/G_{b+1}$  is an elementary abelian *p*-group.

We define the multiplicity of the lower break b to be the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension of  $G_b/G_{b+1}$ .

Thus the lower breaks of L/K form a nondecreasing sequence  $b_1 \leq b_2 \leq \cdots \leq b_n$  of integers.

#### Even Higher Ramification

Let  $H \leq G$  and set  $M = L^H$ . Then for  $x \geq 0$  we get  $H_x = H \cap G_x$ .

Suppose  $H \trianglelefteq G$ . How to determine  $(G/H)_x$ ?

Define a function  $\phi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  by

$$\phi_{L/K}(x) = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{|G_0:G_t|}.$$

Then  $\phi_{L/K}$  is one-to-one and onto, so we may define  $\psi_{L/K} : \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ by  $\psi_{L/K} = \phi_{L/K}^{-1}$ .

Define the upper numbering on the higher ramification groups of L/K by  $G^x = G_{\psi_{L/K}(x)}$  for  $x \ge 0$ . Then we get

$$\psi_{L/K}(x) = \int_0^x |G^0:G^t| dt.$$

Say  $u \ge 0$  is an upper ramification break of L/K if  $G^u \ne G^{u+\epsilon}$  for all  $\epsilon > 0$ . This is equivalent to  $\psi_{L/K}(u)$  being a lower ramification break.

# Herbrand's Theorem

#### Theorem

Let M/K be a Galois subextension of L/K. Set G = Gal(L/K) and H = Gal(L/M).

- (Herbrand's Theorem) For  $y \ge 0$  we have  $(G/H)^y = G^y H/H$ .
- (Tower Rule) Let M/K be a Galois subextension of L/K. Then  $\phi_{L/K} = \phi_{M/K} \circ \phi_{L/M}$  and  $\psi_{L/K} = \psi_{L/M} \circ \psi_{M/K}$ .

It follows from Herbrand's theorem that if u is an upper ramification break of M/K then u is also an upper ramification break of L/K.

Let  $H \trianglelefteq G$  and set  $M = L^H$ . Let  $x \ge 0$  and set  $y = \phi_{M/K}(x)$ . By the tower rule we get

$$\psi_{L/K}(y) = \psi_{L/M}(\psi_{M/K}(y)) = \psi_{L/M}(x).$$

Hence by Herbrand's Theorem we deduce that

$$(G/H)_{x} = (G/H)^{y} = G^{y}H/H = G_{\psi_{L/K}(y)}H/H = G_{\psi_{L/M}(x)}H/H.$$

# A Ramification Theory Lemma

#### Lemma

Let L/K be a Galois extension of degree  $p^n$  and set G = Gal(L/K). Let E/K be a  $C_p$ -extension such that [LE : L] = [E : K] = p. Let v be the ramification break of E/K and let v' be the ramification break of LE/L. Then  $v' \leq \psi_{L/K}(v)$ , with equality if v is not an upper ramification break of L/K.



### The Hasse-Arf Theorem

#### Theorem (Hasse-Arf)

Let L/K be an abelian extension. Then the upper ramification breaks of L/K are integers.

Suppose  $\overline{K}$  is finite and L/K is an abelian extension. Then local class field theory gives an onto homomorphism  $\omega_{L/K} : K^{\times} \to G = \text{Gal}(L/K)$ .

For x > 0 define

$$U_{K}^{\mathsf{x}} = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{K} : \mathsf{v}_{K}(\alpha - 1) \geq \mathsf{x} \}.$$

Then for x > 0 we have  $\omega_{L/K}(U_K^x) = G^x$ .

#### A Question

Let G be a group of order  $p^n$  and let

$$\{1\} = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \cdots \leq G_{n-1} \leq G_n = G$$

be normal subgroups of G such that  $|G_i| = p^i$  for  $0 \le i \le n$ .

Consider the set of all totally ramified Galois extensions L/K with  $Gal(L/K) \cong G$  such that every ramification subgroup of Gal(L/K) is equal to  $G_i$  for some i.

```
We get a tower of fields L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_n, with L_i = L^{G_{n-i}}.
```

Question: What are the possibilities for the upper ramification breaks  $u_1 \le u_2 \le \cdots \le u_n$  of such extensions?

Miki and Maus determined the possibilities for the upper breaks when  $G = C_{p^n}$  is cyclic.

#### **Embedding Problems**

Let L/K be a totally ramified Galois extension whose Galois group G = Gal(L/K) has order  $p^n$ .

Let  $\widetilde{G}$  be an extension of the group G by  $C_p$ , and let  $M_1, M_2$  be two field extensions of L which solve the associated embedding problem.

Thus for i = 1, 2,  $M_i/K$  is a Galois extension and there is an isomorphism of exact sequences

### Sets of Upper Breaks

Let  $e_{\mathcal{K}} = v_{\mathcal{K}}(p)$  denote the absolute ramification index of  $\mathcal{K}$ ; thus  $e_{\mathcal{K}} = \infty$  if char $(\mathcal{K}) = p$ . Set

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{K}}' = \left\{ b \in \mathbb{N} : b < rac{pe_{\mathcal{K}}}{p-1}, \ p \nmid b 
ight\}.$$

Let  $B_K$  denote the set of all possible ramification breaks of  $C_p$ -extensions E/K.

If K does not contain a primitive pth root of unity then

$$B_{\mathcal{K}}=B_{\mathcal{K}}'\cup\{-1\},$$

while if K does contain a primitive pth root of unity then

$$B_{\mathcal{K}} = B_{\mathcal{K}}' \cup \left\{-1, \frac{pe_{\mathcal{K}}}{p-1}\right\}.$$

In particular, if char( $\mathcal{K}$ ) = p then  $B_{\mathcal{K}} = \{b \in \mathbb{N} : p \nmid b\} \cup \{-1\}.$ 

# Main Theorem

#### Theorem

Let  $b^{(i)}$  be the unique (upper and lower) ramification break of  $M_i/L$ . Then  $b^{(i)}$  is a lower break of  $M_i/K$ , so we may let  $u^{(i)} = \phi_{M_i/K}(b^{(i)}) = \phi_{L/K}(b^{(i)})$  be the corresponding upper ramification break of  $M_i/K$ . Assume that •  $u^{(i)}$  is the largest upper ramification break of  $M_i/K$  for i = 1, 2. •  $u^{(1)} \notin B_K$ . Then  $u^{(2)} \ge u^{(1)}$ .

Some consequences:

- If  $u^{(2)} > u^{(1)}$  then  $u^{(2)} \in B_K$ . In particular, if  $u^{(2)} > u^{(1)}$  then  $u^{(2)}$  is an integer.
- Suppose char(K) = p. Then there are finitely many solutions  $M_1/K$  to the embedding problem such that  $u^{(1)}$  is not an integer, and infinitely many solutions such that  $u^{(1)}$  is an integer.

# Proof of Main Theorem (First Step)

We first note that if the extension G of G by  $C_p$  is split then there is a Galois extension F/K with  $Gal(F/K) \cong C_p$  such that  $LF = M_1$  and  $L \cap F = K$ .



Let  $v \in B_K$  be the ramification break of F/K. Then v is an upper ramification break of  $M_1/K$ , so we have  $v \leq u^{(1)}$ .

By the lemma we have  $b^{(1)} \leq \psi_{L/K}(v)$ , and hence  $u^{(1)} = \phi_{L/K}(b^{(1)}) \leq v$ .

It follows that  $u^{(1)} = v \in B_K$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $\widetilde{G}$  is a nonsplit extension of G by  $C_p$ .

#### Proof of Main Theorem (continued)

Let  $N = M_1 M_2$ . Then N/K is Galois. Set  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(N/K)$  and  $H_i = \text{Gal}(N/M_i)$  for i = 1, 2. Then  $\Gamma/H_i \cong \text{Gal}(M_i/K)$  and  $\Gamma/H_1 H_2 \cong \text{Gal}(L/K) = G$ .



## A Bit of Group Theory

It follows from (1) that there is an isomorphism  $\psi : \Gamma/H_1 \to \Gamma/H_2$  which induces the identity on  $\Gamma/H_1H_2$ .

Hence for  $x \in \Gamma$  there is unique  $\delta(x) \in H_1$  such that  $\psi(xH_1) = x\delta(x)H_2$ .

Let  $x, y \in \Gamma$ . Since  $H_1$  is contained in the center of the *p*-group  $\Gamma$  we get

$$\psi(xyH_1) = \psi(xH_1)\psi(yH_1)$$
  
=  $x\delta(x)H_2 \cdot y\delta(y)H_2$   
=  $xy\delta(x)\delta(y)H_2$ .

Hence  $\delta(xy) = \delta(x)\delta(y)$ , so  $\delta: \Gamma \to H_1$  is a homomorphism.

If  $x \in H_1$  then  $\delta(x) = x^{-1}$ . Therefore  $H_1 \not\subset \ker(\delta)$ . It follows that  $\delta$  is nontrivial, and hence onto.

Therefore ker( $\delta$ ) is a normal subgroup of  $\Gamma$  with index p.

### Proof of the Main Theorem (continued)

Let *F* be the subfield of *N* fixed by ker( $\delta$ ).

Then  $Gal(F/K) \cong C_p$ , Also,  $M_iF = N$  and  $M_i \cap F = K$  for i = 1, 2.

Let  $v \in \mathbb{N}$  be the unique (upper and lower) ramification break of F/K.

Suppose  $v > u^{(1)}$ . Then by the maximality of  $u^{(1)}$  we see that v is not an upper ramification break of L/K.

By the lemma we deduce that  $\psi_{L/K}(v)$  is an upper break of LF/L.

Therefore the (distinct) upper breaks of N/L are  $\psi_{L/K}(v)$  and  $b^{(1)} = \psi_{L/K}(u^{(1)})$ .

Since  $\psi_{L/K}(v) > \psi_{L/K}(u^{(1)})$  and  $M_2 \neq M_1$ , the upper break of  $M_2/L$  is  $\psi_{L/K}(v)$ . Hence  $u^{(2)} = v > u^{(1)}$ .

### Completing the Proof of the Main Theorem

Suppose  $v \leq u^{(1)}$ . Then  $v < u^{(1)}$  since  $u^{(1)} \notin B_K$ .

Hence by the lemma the upper ramification break of LF/L is less than  $\psi_{L/K}(u^{(1)}) = b^{(1)}$ .

It follows that the ramification break of  $M_2/L$  is  $b^{(1)}$ , so we get  $u^{(2)} = \phi_{L/K}(b^{(1)}) = u^{(1)}$ .

# An Example

Let K be a local field of characteristic p and let L/K be a totally ramified cyclic extension of degree p - 1.

Let  $\pi_L, \pi_K$  be uniformizers for K, L such that  $\pi_L^{p-1} = \pi_K$ .

Let d > 0 with  $p \nmid d$  and let  $M_d$  be the extension of L generated by the roots of  $X^p - X - \pi_L^{-d}$ .

Then  $M_d/K$  is a Galois extension of degree p(p-1) with upper ramification breaks 0, d/(p-1).

Therefore the hypothesis that G is a p-group in our main Theorem is necessary.

### Another Theorem

#### Theorem

Let K be a local field and let L/K be a finite totally ramified Galois extension of degree  $p^n$ . Assume that G = Gal(L/K) has order  $p^n$  and let  $\tilde{G}$  be an extension of G by  $C_p$ . Let M/L be a  $C_p$ -extension which solves the embedding problem associated to this group extension. Let w be the ramification break of M/L and let  $v = \phi_{M/K}(w) = \phi_{L/K}(w)$  be the upper ramification break of M/K that is associated to w. Assume that

- w is the smallest ramification break associated to a solution of the embedding problem.
- v is not an upper ramification break of L/K.

Then

$$v \notin B'_{\mathcal{K}} = \left\{ b \in \mathbb{N} : b < \frac{pe_{\mathcal{K}}}{p-1}, \ p \nmid b \right\}.$$

# An Invariant for $C_p$ -extensions

Let E/K be a ramified  $C_p$ -extension with ramification break b. Let v be an integer with  $v \leq b$  and let  $\sigma \in Gal(L/K)$ . We define an invariant  $\lambda_v(E/K, \sigma) \in \overline{K}$  as follows:

Let  $\pi_E$  be a uniformizer for E such that  $N_{E/K}(\pi_E) \equiv \pi_K \pmod{M_K}$ . Then there is  $c \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that

$$\sigma(\pi_E) \equiv \pi_E + c \pi_E^{\nu+1} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_E^{\nu+2}}.$$

Define  $\lambda_{\nu}(E/K, \sigma) = c + \mathcal{M}_{K} \in \mathcal{O}_{K}/\mathcal{M}_{K} = \overline{K}$ . Then  $\lambda_{\nu}(E/K, \sigma)$  does not depend on the choices of  $\pi_{E}$  and c.

#### Proposition

Let  $v \in B'_{K}$  and  $c \in \overline{K}$ . Then there is a ramified  $C_{p}$ -extension E/K with ramification break  $b \ge v$  and a generator  $\sigma$  for Gal(E/K) such that  $\lambda_{v}(E/K, \sigma) = c$ .

Proof: Artin-Schreier plus MacKenzie-Whaples.

# Shifting a $C_p$ -extension

Recall that L/K is a totally ramified Galois extension of degree  $p^n$ , with G = Gal(L/K).

Let  $v \in B'_K$  be such that v is not an upper ramification break of L/K and set  $w = \psi_{L/K}(v)$ .

Let E/K be a  $C_p$ -extension with ramification break v. Then LE/L is a  $C_p$ -extension with ramification break w (by the lemma).

Let  $\sigma$  be a generator for Gal(E/K) and let  $\tilde{\sigma}$  be the unique element of Gal(LE/L) such that  $\tilde{\sigma}|_E = \sigma$ .



#### $\lambda\text{-invariants}$ in Extensions

#### Proposition

Let  $v \in B'_K$  be such that v is not an upper ramification break of L/K and set  $w = \psi_{L/K}(v)$ . There is a group isomorphism  $\rho_{L/K}^v : (\overline{K}, +) \to (\overline{K}, +)$ such that for every pair  $(E/K, \sigma)$  consisting of a  $C_p$ -extension E/K with ramification break v and a generator  $\sigma$  for Gal(E/K) we have

$$\rho_{L/K}^{\mathsf{v}}(\lambda_{\mathsf{v}}(E/K,\sigma)) = \lambda_{\mathsf{w}}(LE/L,\widetilde{\sigma}).$$

Proof: Suppose L/K is a  $C_p$ -extension with ramification break  $u \neq v$ . Then there is  $a \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that for all  $c \in \mathcal{O}_E$  we have

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{N}_{LE/E}(\pi_{LE} + c\pi_{LE}^{w+1}) &\equiv \pi_E + c^p \pi_E^{v+1} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_E^{v+2}} \text{ if } v < u, \\ &\equiv \pi_E + ca \pi_E^{v+1} \pmod{\mathcal{M}_E^{v+2}} \text{ if } u < v, \end{split}$$

which proves the claim. The general case now follows by induction.

## Outline of the Proof of the Other Theorem

Recall that M/L is a solution to the embedding problem associated to the extension  $\tilde{G}$  of G = Gal(L/K) by  $C_p$ , and that  $w = \psi_{L/K}(v)$  is the ramification break of M/L.

Suppose w is the smallest break associated to a solution of the embedding problem, v is not an upper ramification break of L/K, and  $v \in B'_K$ .

Let  $\tau$  be a generator for  $Gal(M/L) \cong C_p$ . By the preceding proposition there is a  $C_p$ -extension E/K with ramification break v and a generator  $\sigma$  for Gal(E/K) such that

$$\lambda_w(LE/L,\widetilde{\sigma}) = \lambda_w(M/L,\tau).$$

Then ME/L is a  $C_p \times C_p$ -extension with two distinct upper ramification breaks x, w with x < w.

Hence there is a  $C_p$ -subextension M'/L of ME/L with ramification break x which solves the embedding problem. This contradicts the minimality of v.